

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,008	07/24/2001	Jeffrey Grayzel	129336-00040	5219	
31013	7590 09/30/2003				
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 919 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			EXAMINER		
			BUI, VY Q  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o	Applicant(s)	$\sim$			
		09/912,008	•	GRAYZEL ET AL.	<u>Ui</u>			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Vy Q. Bui		3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addres:	S			
THE   - Exte after   - If the   - If NO   - Failu   - Any   earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION STATES OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS	N. 1.136(a). In no event, ho reply within the statutory r od will apply and will expi tute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status 1\⊠	Pagnangiva to communication(s) filed on 1	6 July 2002						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1		final					
2a)⊠	,	This action is non						
3)∐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo on of Claims				erits is			
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion						
,			om consideration					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-32 and 41-47</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· _	☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed.  ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-23 and 33-40 is/are rejected.							
· · · · ·	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requi	rement.					
•	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) 🗌 obje	cted to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be h	ield in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ appro	ved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office a	action.					
12) 🗌 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the practical application from the International I See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).	·	e			
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional app	lication).			
	)  The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome							
. بـــر . Attachmen	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	4) [ 5) [ ) <u>10</u> . 6) [		v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152				
C Potent and T	rademark Office	<del></del>	<u></u>					

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

In response to the applicants' request for an examination of claim 45 (see amendment, paper 13, page 6), the examiner would like to bring the applicants attention to restriction paper #5. As indicated in paper #5, non-elected species 2 covers claims about a balloon having continuous stiffening members.

Claim 45 drawn to a non-elected invention (species 2) and therefore is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b).

The restriction has been made final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 3731

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, 11-14, 16-20, 23, 33-35, and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by VIGIL et al (6,102,904).

As to claims 1-2, 4 and 8-9, VIGIL (Fig. 2-4e and 6) discloses a device for treating a stenosis and dilating a lumen of a blood vessel. VIGIL device comprises balloon 16 or balloon assembly 16/18 of an flexible material such as a polyethylene terephthalate or PET (column 6, lines 56-58), longitudinal stiffening members 20 with base 40 of nickel (column 7, lines 44-45) arranged longitudinally aligned and in a grid pattern along a perimeter of balloon 16 or balloon assembly 16/18. Stiffening members 20 have a geometric shape of a cone (Fig. 4B) or a tubular body (Fig. 4A). As a cone or a tubular body, stiffening members 20 have curved cross-sections.

As to claims 11-14, VIGIL (Figs. 4A-4E) discloses stiffening members 20 including smooth raised surfaces 42/46, and stiffening members 20 are pointed (Figs. 4C-4E) or sharp (Fig. 3A and 7) to pierce/cut an occlusion.

As to claim 16, VIGIL (Fig. 2-3A) shows stiffening members 20 disposed in a central section of balloon 18.

As to claims 17-20, VIGIL (Figs. 2-4E) shows stiffening members 20 comprises raised surfaces 42/46 as means for engaging an occlusion in a lumen or means for piercing an occlusion in a lumen or means for temporarily retaining a stent/stent-graft.

As to claim 23, VIGIL (Figs. 5A-5B) shows stiffening members 20 are disposed on a sheet of material 50.

As to claims 33-35, and 38-39, VIGIL (Fig. 5A-5B) discloses a device for treating a stenosis and dilating a lumen of a blood vessel. VIGIL device comprises balloons 16/18 of an flexible material such as a polyethylene terephthalate or PET (column 6, lines 56-58), longitudinal stiffening members 20 with bases 50 (Fig. 5A-5B) arranged along a perimeter of balloon 18. Stiffening members 20 comprise truncated cones (Fig. 4B) having raised surfaces being substantially pointed/sharp (Fig. 5A) as means for engaging/piercing an occlusion in a lumen of a blood vessel.

As to claim 37, stiffening members 20 are disposed in the central section of balloon 18.

Art Unit: 3731

As to claim 40, longitudinal stiffening members 20 disposed on a sheet of material 50 (Fig. 5A-5B).

3. Claims 1 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SPAHN (3,779,201).

As to claims 1 and 21, SPAHN (Fig. 1-2; column 2, lines 17-22) shows balloon 11 of flexible material such as a plastic (abstract, line 2; claim 1) and 4 stiffening members/ribs 18 disposed longitudinally inside balloon 11 and discontinuous in the middle section of balloon 11 for reinforcing the balloon (column 2, lines 37-46). The stiffening members 18 shown in Fig. 2 as solid hence are less flexible than balloon 11 in longitudinally direction. Stiffening members 18 are located within balloon 11 and abutting the inner surface of balloon 11 (Fig. 2).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over VIGIL et al (6,102,904) in view of BARATH et al (5,242,397).

As to claims 3 and 22, VIGIL discloses substantially all structural limitations as recited in the claim, except for the stiffening members arranged in a staggered configuration and radio opaque marker. It is well known to provide a radio opaque material on a device such as a stent, a catheter, or a balloon for monitoring the device during deployment inside a patient. For example, BARATH (Fig. 2A) shows stiffening members 10 arranged in a staggered configuration and radio opaque platinum marker 9

Art Unit: 3731

for monitoring the location of balloon 1 during deployment inside a patient. In view of BARATH, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange stiffening members 20 of VIGIL in a staggered configuration and provide a radio opaque marker to one of the stiffening element so as to keep track of the location of the balloon 16/18 during deployment of the balloon inside a patient.

3. Claims 10, 15 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over VIGIL et al (6,102,904) in view of BOOTH et al. (5,653,690).

As to claim 10, VIGIL-'904 does not disclose the stiffening members 20 having a polygonal cross section. However, BOOTH (Fig. 29) shows balloon 240 having stiffening members 244 of a polygonal cross section for retention enhancement (abstract, lines 3-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the stiffening members 20 of VIGIL-'904 to have polygonal cross section, as this configuration would provide another suitable configuration for retention enhancement.

As to claims 15 and 36, VIGIL-'904 discloses substantially all structural limitations as recited in the claim, except for a saw-tooth configuration. However, BOOTH (Fig. 28) shows balloon 200 having stiffening members 244 of a saw-tooth configuration for retention enhancement (abstract, lines 3-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the stiffening members 20 of VIGIL-'904 to have a saw-tooth configuration, as this configuration would provide another suitable configuration for retention enhancement.

4. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over VIGIL et al (6,102,904)

VIGIL discloses the claimed invention except for the stiffening members overlap or interdigitate with another one of the stiffening members. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the VIGIL stiffening members to overlap or inter-digitate to another of the stiffening members, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the Art Unit: 3731

arrangement and shape of the stiffening members. A change in shape/size of a component is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Amendment

The amendment and remark filed on 7/16/2003 (paper # 13) under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the prior art of reference applied in the previous rejection.

The applicants asserted that as to VIGIL-'904 reference:

- 1. Dispensers 20 are not "longitudinal stiffening members".
- 2. Sleeve 18 is not a balloon, therefore dispensers 20 are not disposed along a perimeter of the balloon 18.
  - 3. Dispensers 20 are not suitable to retain a stent or a stent –graft.

Art Unit: 3731

In response, the examiner would like to bring the applicants' attention to the following:

- 1. Each dispenser 20 has a longitudinal length and each does increase the rigidity of balloon assembly 16/18 (see Fig. 3A-5B). Therefore, dispensers 20 are indeed "longitudinal stiffening members".
- 2. First, sleeve 18 is made of the same polymeric material (PET) as balloon 16 (col. 6, lines 56-58). Sleeve 18 is expanded when the balloon 16 is inflated (col. 13, lines 1-4) or sleeve 18 is expanded when fluid pump 58 shown in Fig. 2 pumps fluid into sleeve 18. Therefore, even sleeve 18 has holes 52 for a treatment fluid to escape, sleeve 18 is indeed a balloon (leaking balloon or balloon having holes).

Second, one of ordinary skill in the art would consider balloon 16 and sleeve 18 as an expandable balloon assembly 16/18 or an expandable balloon 16/18 as a whole.

3. Dispensers 20, especially ones shown in VIGIL Fig. 4B-4E, as compared to Fig. 7a-7G of the instant invention, for example, are quite structurally capable of retaining a stent.

As to SPAHN-'201 reference, the applicants asserted that SPAHN device is not a dilating balloon as recited in the claim 1 and 21 and SPAHN device does not fit inside a person.

In response, the examiner would like to direct the applicants' attention to Fig. 1-4, which clearly show a dilating/inflatable/expandable balloon. In addition, claim 1 and 21 do not require the device to fit into a person.

For the reasons indicated above, the above rejection remains substantially the same as the previous rejection.

#### Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420 and whose email is vy.bui@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2708 for regular communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

VQB

9/26/2003.